Register Now! CHD's 2nd Annual Conference • Nov. 3-5

08/03/23 • BIG PHARMA › NEWS

Exclusive: How an ‘Extraordinarily Good Physician’ Lost Her License for Protecting Medically Vulnerable Children From Potentially Harmful Vaccines

In an exclusive interview with The Defender, Dr. Mary Kelly Sutton recounts how she lost her medical license — in two states — after being accused of improperly exempting eight children from required school vaccinations.

By **Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.**

53

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

The Massachusetts medical board last month revoked the medical license of Dr. Mary Kelly Sutton, following the California medical board's decision last year to revoke her license for, according to the board, improperly exempting eight children from required school vaccinations.

The California board alleged that Sutton — an integrative physician, licensed and practicing since the early 1970s — had written the exemptions based on a rationale that was not fully compliant with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention's (CDC) Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices (ACIP) guidelines.

The board disciplined her as part of California's move in recent years to cut back on medical exemptions for vaccines by sanctioning doctors who provide them.

California first revoked Sutton's license on Dec. 8, 2021, effective January 2022. She appealed the revocation, filing two writs of mandate in Superior Court in March 2022. The California board had prematurely closed her case despite the filing of the writs, and the ongoing appeals process.

On Dec. 20, 2021, the Massachusetts Board of Registration in Medicine filed a complaint against Sutton, saying it was enacting “reciprocal discipline” against her, mirroring the discipline imposed by the California board — even though Sutton had not violated any rules or laws in Massachusetts, where she had been licensed to practice medicine since 2015.

The Massachusetts board informed Sutton that a committee would investigate her actions and make a disciplinary recommendation to the board unless she opted to resign.

Sutton was residing and practicing in Massachusetts at the time, where she had moved to be near her grandchildren. She had no interest in resigning.

Upon learning her California case was being appealed, the Massachusetts board initially stayed the proceeding.

But on Sep. 8, 2022, the board issued a Statement of Allegations against Sutton, stating that she had engaged in conduct — in California — that placed into question her ability to practice medicine, that she “lacks good moral character” and has behaved in a way that “undermines the public confidence in the integrity of the medical profession.”

The allegations were addressed to the Division of Administrative Law Appeals (DALA), an independent agency that conducts due process adjudicatory hearings for Massachusetts state administrative agencies.

The document ordered Sutton to show cause as to why she should not be disciplined in Massachusetts.

Sutton told The Defender she had not violated Massachusetts rules in any way or committed any new offenses, and that despite repeated requests, the board shared no information with her about its investigation.

She said she was left wondering, “How did they make this decision? What were the meeting topics? What was the sequence of thinking? And did they know that I already had two lawsuits [challenging the revocation] filed in California?”

Sutton said:

> “The Massachusetts board turned out to be very aggressive and not inclined to follow normal courtesy and procedures in legal discussions back and forth. But that's — I've learned since then — pretty much characteristic of bureaucracies.
>
> “There's a level of power given to the administrative state because they're supposed to be experts in their field, so they feel they don't have to dot all the i's and cross all the t's that would be required in a normal legal argument back and forth where you clearly state ‘these are the facts, these are the key issues.'”

At a pre-hearing conference on Nov. 15, 2022, the opposing counsel cut the conference short, indicating she would introduce a motion for summary decision.

That meant there would be no discussion of charges, evidence presented or questions responded to. Instead, they would “go straight to discipline,” Sutton said.

“In effect … it was kind of like ‘Shut up and sit down,'” she said.

Sutton said the “Division of Administrative Law Appeals in Massachusetts is assigned a role of being the neutral arbiter and very clearly sided with the Massachusetts Board of Medicine from the beginning.”

Sutton recounted her experience with the bureaucracy:

> “Every request I made for records for discovery, whether it was documents or responses to questions or admissions, was denied. Every motion I made was denied. And at a certain point in January, I felt like, ‘This is going nowhere. This is not a real case. There's nothing relating to the rules that's happening.' So I said, ‘On my side, the case is closed.'”

But the medical board continued to make decisions, she said.

Rachel Shute, the Massachusetts board's complaint counsel, submitted the promised Motion for Summary Decision to revoke Sutton's license on Nov. 30, 2022, and DALA magistrate John Wheatley accepted it.

The board met on July 13 and revoked her medical license in Massachusetts, informing her only in a brief letter indicating that new information would be appearing in her physician profile by Aug. 12.

In response, Sutton filed a complaint in Superior Court against the medical board, its council, DALA and the two DALA magistrates involved in the case, requesting that it set aside the board's decision. She is awaiting a response.

California's road to eliminating vaccine exemptions

In 2012, after a decade of increasing numbers of parents seeking exemptions for their children, California passed Assembly Bill 2109 (AB-2109) to restrict the ability of parents to have their children exempted from vaccine requirements based on personal beliefs.

Where before parents simply had to write a letter stating their personal beliefs, the new law stipulated that parents seeking exemption for their children must get the signature of an authorized healthcare provider stating that parents had received information about the risks of not being vaccinated.

In 2015, allegedly prompted by a measles outbreak at Disneyland — that the media blamed on unvaccinated children — and low vaccination rates in many California schools, Democratic State Sens. Richard Pan and Ben Allen authored a controversial bill, SB 277, that eliminated the “personal belief exemption” altogether.

Pan's SB 277 passed in 2015 and Gov. Jerry Brown signed it into law, despite significant pushback from parents, hundreds of whom protested at the legislature.

Several years later, during the COVID-19 pandemic, Pan also proposed legislation — which did not pass — mandating the COVID-19 vaccine for all school children, with no personal or religious exemptions permitted — even prior to the full approval of the vaccine for children by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration.

He also wrote a 2021 op-ed in The Washington Post likening “anti-vaccine extremism” to domestic terrorism.

The passage of SB 277 in 2015 made California the first state in nearly 35 years to eliminate nonmedical vaccine exemptions. Beginning in January 2016, nonmedical vaccine exemptions were no longer accepted for school entry.

RFK Jr./Hooker NEW book: Vax-Unvax. Order Now!

California bill left ‘norms for exemption open to interpretation'

Sutton said SB 277 passed by continuing to allow “strong freedom of doctors to exercise professional judgment regarding the reason for the [medical] exemption.”

For example, the first version of the bill proposed medical exemptions be granted only for children with “contraindications,” but that language was then broadened. The law said children could be exempted for “circumstances, including, but not limited to, family medical history, for which the physician does not recommend immunization.”

Following the passage of the law, Sutton said, “A number of doctors read the bill, believed it, and began giving vaccine exemptions. And I was among them. And over time we have been sort of picked off with discipline to our licenses.”

Dr. Douglas Hulstedt, a pediatrician whose license was also revoked by the California board earlier this year for providing an allegedly improper vaccine exemption, also told The Defender that he and other doctors provided exemptions in accordance with SB 277.

He said he did so to the best of his ability based on “reading the committee hearings and understanding the criteria they were using. That was all I could go on. There wasn't anything else.”

He added that he didn't agree with SB 277, “but I went along with it.”

Research published in the American Journal of Public Health also found the language of the law left norms for exemption open to interpretation.

Physicians such as Sutton — and at least 11 others since 2020 who were disciplined for providing improper vaccine exemptions following the 2015 law — were accused of failing to comply with the CDC's ACIP guidelines for exemptions.

But, Sutton said the ACIP guidelines don't address exemptions, nor were any administrative procedures ever established for how to implement SB 277.

“So, doctors are supposed to know that this ACIP guideline that never mentions exemption is in fact an exemption guideline,” she said.

Charity Dean's ‘Medical Exemption Pilot Project'

Following the passage of SB 277, in 2016, the Santa Barbara County Department of Public Health created a Medical Exemption Pilot Program (MEPP), led by its then-public health officer Dr. Charity Dean.

Dean later emerged as a key voice designing harsh COVID-19 public policy in California and was profiled as a “COVID-19 public health policy visionary” in Michael Lewis' book, “The Premonition: A Pandemic Story.”

The MEPP was designed as a project to surveil and discredit medical exemptions they found to be “not appropriate,” because they are out of compliance with SB 277. On June 6, 2016, the project sent a letter to schools directing them to fax all exemptions to the health department office so they could be inspected for compliance.

At the time, Voice for Choice Advocacy, a parent's group, objected to the program, accusing it of “overreach.”

They wrote, “A Voice for Choice Advocacy firmly believes that the reason for this program was to collect doctor information so that those doctors writing Medical Exemptions could be targeted.”

This was accompanied by a letter from attorney Greg Glaser, warning the program violated the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and the Confidentiality of Medical Information Act.

After these complaints, the MEPP retracted its initial letter to schools and changed the description of its mission as seeking to provide “procedural support” to schools and requesting the children's personal health information be redacted from faxed exemptions.

A Voice for Choice also found, through a Public Records Act request, that Sacramento and Marin Counties were implementing similar programs.

Leaked meeting notes from the California Conference of Local Health Offices in July 2016 showed health officials across the state strategizing how to expand the pilot program statewide. They wanted the legal power to launch investigations into physicians providing exemptions and refer them to the medical board — a process they noted would be exempt from public records requests.

They also complained about the number of public records requests they were receiving and discussed “alternative approaches” to getting around them.

In that meeting, Dean indicated the National Institutes of Health was interested in collaborating with the MEPP.

In the same meeting, the participants moved to communicate with the California Department of Public Health (CDPH) to get a statewide consistent response to evaluating the implementation of SB 277.

Gov. Brown appointed Dean to serve as assistant director of CDPH in 2018. She stepped down in July 2020, amid public protest over her handling of the COVID-19 pandemic.

Attorney Leigh Dundas in 2019 sent a letter to the U.S. Department of Justice demanding an investigation into Charity Dean and California Health Officers for conspiring to release protected data of minors and to investigate their manufacture of ongoing investigations into doctors providing medical exemptions.

Her letter contained further details of the 2016 meeting, including one doctor saying, “I'm not really interested in going after the parents. There's a systems-level opportunity here, and I — personally — would like to smoke out their physicians.”

Another doctor commented they were not worried about the legal implications because “It's fun to be sued by those whackjobs.”

Order Now 'The Wuhan Cover-up' by RFK Jr.!

California protected the ‘public health department and its policies' rather than patients

As part of this process, Sutton told The Defender, eventually school nurses and other health officials were guided to screen exemptions and send them to the CDPH.

She recounted how she received, as part of discovery evidence, a file containing the unredacted health information of hundreds of children — all shared without their families' consent.

Sutton said:

> “So while the medical board's stated mission is the protection of consumers, consumers used to be known as patients. And I think it's a misnomer to call a patient a consumer because medicine's not a retail exchange.
>
> “The protection of patients or consumers is meant to be the mission of the medical board. And in this case, it became the protection of the public health department and its policies.”

Sutton explained how Pan began a media campaign describing “greedy doctors who were overcharging” and profiting from vaccine exemptions.

Numerous articles appeared in the media criticizing doctors for selling exemptions — including stories about Sutton.

Hulstedt said he considered “besmirching the reputation” of the accused doctors a key part of the strategy to discipline them. The process cost them their licenses, their reputations and hundreds of thousands of dollars in legal fees, he added.

Eventually, three complaints were filed against Sutton, but none were from patients and none were related to injury. They were all filed by a school official or public health official who informed the board she was writing erroneous exemptions.

“These are people all following orders to submit a complaint,” Sutton said. “They are functioning in an employee capacity.”

Eight of the approximately 800 vaccine exemptions Sutton had written over the course of her career were challenged and were the basis for the board revoking her license.

The bases for those exemptions included, for example, family histories of serious adverse reactions to vaccines or autoimmune disorders.

In June 2021, Sutton had a three-day trial that took place in an administrative court with a single judge and no right to a jury.

During her trial, Sutton was represented by attorney Rick Jaffe, who marshaled evidence from three top experts in defense of Sutton's methods to protect patients from vaccine injury.

The state produced one expert, who lacked basic knowledge of vaccine risk, and who stated that all doctors should follow whatever the CDC's one-size-fits-all vaccine schedule recommends at any given time.

In that trial, Sutton reviewed each of the relevant patient records cited by the medical board as evidence of her non-compliance with CDC recommendations and explained the children's vaccine risk based on the individual patient's complex medical histories.

Her witnesses included Dr. Andrew Zimmerman, world-renowned pediatric neurologist and one of the foremost authorities on autism, Dr. James Neuenschwander, family physician, and Dr. LeTrinh Hoang, pediatrician, who all have extensive medical knowledge of vaccines and vaccine injury.

Despite those testimonies, the board ruled against her.

Sutton continues to appeal the revocation in California and is also now appealing the revocation in Massachusetts.

Hulstedt said he was shocked to watch several incredible physicians be subject to an opaque and unjust disciplinary process in which “due process has been obliterated.”

He added, “Kelly Sutton is an extraordinarily good physician. You watch this stuff play out and it's just, I don't know, you can't make this stuff up.”

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

Brenda Baletti Ph.D. is a reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about capitalism and politics for 10 years in the writing program at Duke University. She holds a Ph.D. in human geography from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a master's from the University of Texas at Austin.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support

Republishing Guidelines

Donate Now

You make the difference.

GUIDESTAR PLATINUM SEAL OF TRANSPARENCY 2020

Latest News

Dr. Pierre Kory: Why We Wrote the USA Today Op-ed on Excess Deaths — and Why We Never Mentioned ‘Vaccines'

CRISPR Gene Editing: New Evidence of ‘Potentially Irreversible Safety Risks'

‘A Fauci Clone': New NIAID Director Oversaw Remdesivir Trials, Has Ties to Biosafety Lab Research

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

What's Behind the Rapid Spread of Red Meat Allergy — Ticks? Vaccines? Something Else?

Review Adds to Evidence That Glyphosate Weedkillers Cause Cancer

New Book by Doctors for COVID Ethics Details Dangers of mRNA Vaccines

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name..

Last Name..

Email..

SIGN UP

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy

We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.

Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.

Got it

53 Comments 1 Login ▾

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 4 • Share Best Newest Oldest

Christine Jahnig
13 days ago

Criminal on the part of these boards. The most basic of all human rights is the right to bodily autonomy. If a person is of age, no one has the right to impose any vaccine or any other medical intervention of that person. If a person is not of age, parental consent (informed) is mandatory.

21 0 Reply • Share ›

ke Cronin
13 days ago

I truly respect RFK, jr and I want to support his candidacy but I am concerned that he still wants to be part of the Democrat machinery. The Dem party he grew up - that I grew up with - is dead. It has been taken over by elitists who are authoritarians. They only give lip services to confuse people they are for the common folk just like tech execs who wear expensive hoodies and t-shirts to portray they are just one of the guys or gals. It is all a facade.

21 0 Reply • Share ›

Steven Berge → ke Cronin
13 days ago

Plus he's a staunch supporter of Israel.

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Marki Webber → Steven Berge
12 days ago

Ironic, given that he is being painted by MSM as an anti-semite!

1 0 Reply • Share ›

donegoing2002 → Steven Berge
13 days ago

He should be

3 3 Reply • Share ›

Nod → donegoing2002
11 days ago

no he should not be. Israel commits war crimes and does genocide.

1 1 Reply • Share ›

michiganwoman → Nod
11 days ago edited

Aren't the Israelis revolting against their questionably “elected again” leader Netanyahu ..a neo-con?

1 0 Reply • Share ›

trmlogan → Steven Berge
8 days ago

The Dems do not support Israel, so your comment is totally out of context.

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Kitty Ca'at → ke Cronin
12 days ago

If no one does anything to reform the D party then the only option is to vote for a Republican. I prefer a reformation and believe RFK Jr. is ready for that “Sisyphean” task.

1 1 Reply • Share ›

Dan → Kitty Ca'at
8 days ago

There is always a choice. Vote for an independent. Political Parties are not a thing we should have in a democratic republic. There will never be healthy open dialogue inside a political party. Never a focus on real issues. Only gang warfare.

1 0 Reply • Share ›

michiganwoman → Kitty Ca'at
11 days ago

I tuned into RFK's interview with James last week. So weird.. I flashed on them profiled while talking together and instantly had an impression of Lincoln's face almost superimposed -out of nowhere! Really! That's a first. Then, later in the interview Lincoln was even discussed. Reincarnation anyone? But, RFK has that heroic diligence .. so did Paul Wellstone. We need so much help from the good guys..

0 0 Reply • Share ›

Bearwallow
13 days ago

Just awful about Dr. Sutton and the other handful of courageous docs. And all the patients suffer because of this ignorant behavior of the so called "experts". I want to cry for us all.

16 0 Reply • Share ›

Diane
13 days ago

Doctors are finding out that the 'Healthcare' system they thought they were part of is simply a corrupt wholly evil Medical-industrial complex seeking to do harm. When they say "...placed into question her ability to practice medicine." what they mean is "...placed into question her willingness to kill people via protocol". A new legitimate Healthcare system needs to be constructed.

15 0 Reply • Share ›

holisticpoet
13 days ago

More proof that no good deed goes unpunished in this country. It would not be this way if large corporations were not the dictators of policy and procedures for nearly the entire fabric of our country.

13 0 Reply • Share ›

mikies123 → holisticpoet
12 days ago

Certainly your point is well supported, but as we have seen over the last 3+ years, there is a religious mind set about jabs, i.e "the unvaccinated should be denied hospital care". Additionally, many from the left believe there needs to be curbs on Free Speech. So there is pathology that infects many if not most on the left which include plenty in the medical fields.

4 0 Reply • Share ›

Judy Hoffman
13 days ago

If Dr. Sutton had been able to do the same for my son he would be able to walk, talk etc and toilet himself now at 32 years old - he was not so lucky and experienced massive brain encephalitis. God bless Dr. Sutton for upholding her oath of above all Doing No Harm. She possibly saved many children and their families from a living 'hell' existence or perhaps worse - death.

9 0 Reply • Share ›

Nancy Bryson → Judy Hoffman
13 days ago

I am so so sorry for this outrage which occurred with your son and surely devastated your life. God will hold the perpetrators accountable in the hereafter, but that is only scant comfort now, I am sure.

2 0 Reply • Share ›

Nancy Bryson
13 days ago

This makes me sick to my stomach. While Mass and Cali are surely two of the most Commie states, I am afraid things are getting worse generally, not better.

9 0 Reply • Share ›

Mitchel Cohen → Nancy Bryson
12 days ago

Depends on what you mean by "Commie states". I see decisions such as this to be Fascist, not Communist. (There was a time when communists were the leaders of resistance movements all around the world against fascism. Now they've found a way to confuse many on the Left, but by no means all of us.)

3 0 Reply • Share ›

Nod → Mitchel Cohen
11 days ago

Seems many people do not have the capacity to read a dictionary. Socialism and communism are about sharing. Fascism is a convergence of government and corporate interests.(as directly spoken to by Mussolini.)

What people do not understand, is that totalitarian governments result from inequality in representation of interests, not just monetary inequality. Monetary inequality certainly brings inequality of representation of interests.(greed, bribery, lobbying, kickbacks etc) While any nation can call itself communist, socialist, or capitalist, and be a totalitarian state. There cannot be, and there never will be a true socialist or communist society that can exist in a bubble, inside a world corrupted/controlled by inequality and its brotehrs greed and envy.

Fascism was the inevitable end game from capitalism, because in capitalism, the big dogs eventually eat all the smaller dogs. Pandemic lies just saw a lot of little dogs gobbled up.

Now we see that technology, and AI, is making money obsolete by

see more

2 0 Reply • Share ›

trmlogan → Mitchel Cohen
8 days ago

Yes, Communists led resistance movements so they could become the tyrants, just like they did in Cuba, Russia & China. Yes, this article is an example of how communists work as tyrants, even in the courts!

0 0 Reply • Share ›

mikies123 → Mitchel Cohen
12 days ago

Mitchel, I am curious: what percentage of the left do you think are confused? I would like to know. I live in Oregon and I have only met those on the left who insist on the certainty of their beliefs. Their beliefs are shaped by NPR, PBS, NYT and others.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

CAWS → Nancy Bryson
10 days ago

MA obliterated 2nd amendment years ago. In the south we have castle doctrine where you can protect yourself, family ,property ; but in MA you are supposed to run out the back door. In MA you can't get a gun permit unless friends with sheriff. MA,NY & CA also have ridiculous taxes. Maybe that is why people are leaving in droves.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Thumbletweed
13 days ago

Either you heil Hitler or it's the concentration camp for you! That's what we are experiencing right now. Wait until the WHO has all the power. And remember...it is to keep you safe.

👍 8 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

drw22
13 days ago

It's a witch hunt. They can't control her, so they get rid of her.

👍 7 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

thejuneauraven → drw22
13 days ago

A witch hunt in Massachusetts? Hardly a new concept.

👍 4 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Bruce King
12 days ago

Let's see...the California and Massachusetts government takes money forcibly through property tax to fund compulsory public schools that force students to inject something into their bodies against their will and the medical boards support this by forcing doctors to comply. Lots of forcing people who aren't hurting anyone, endangering anyone (if your vaccines worked the unvaccinated would be no threat) and otherwise not messing with other people's coexistence. I thought we got rid of slavery in this country a long time ago.

👍 6 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Rod → Bruce King
12 days ago

Very good point - (if your vaccines worked the unvaccinated would be no threat)

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Philip Robertson
13 days ago edited

This has become an increasing and repeating story since vaccinations began. In the 1970s, Dr. Archie Kalokerinos described in his book "EVERY SECOND CHILD" the deaths or injury to every second child he vaccinated (as the head vaccinating doctor) in outback Australia. He found it was the poor native kid's junk food triggered health and oxidative stress also triggered by the shot (mainly Triple Antigen) that resulted in the deaths. In his practice, childhood death rates went from the highest in the world to the lowest once he drastically changed pre-treatment to strong antioxidant therapy and a very cautious vaccination regime. After his book he also became an enemy of the vaccinating governments but a true friend to his suffering patients who now survived.

👍 6 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

boat
13 days ago

Thank you Brenda Baletti for bringing us this terrible story of injustice.
Dr. Mary Kelly Sutton is a hero. She has integrity and principle and fulfilled her oath as a medical professional serving her patients and their children in the very best way.
Shame on the individuals of these medical boards, who's petty agenda had nothing to do with any violation of ethics or healthcare, but only to serve the callous regime's hatred for anyone who dares to care.
One size fits all was the formula used that said natural immunity from Covid19 infection was not relevant.
Exemptions and informed consent are worth fighting for. Thank you all for pushing back on medical tyranny.

👍 6 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Ron Roy
13 days ago

Instead of giving them a medical exemption she should have simply filled out the paperwork as though they had been vaccinated and not vaccinated them.

👍 6 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

kris
13 days ago

How can they look at the horrors of vaccine injuries and go after doctors, parents and children like this? Why aren't they personally afraid of the injuries? When are people going to wake up?

👍 3 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

ralphdevane@msn.com
11 days ago

if the CDC was a credible public health advocate I could understand CA and Mass taking their position, but when Dr. Birx slammed them after one of the daily WH Covid Panel meetings, any credibility the CDC had should have evaporated. The sociopathic globalists that have infiltrated our public health agencies are crushing those who take their hippocratic oath seriously.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Leslie Taylor
12 days ago edited

All the medical and academic board members enforcing this mandatory vaccination agenda on children, and now worse with the covid shots injected into billions and of all ages, will one day desperately wish they were denied their professional rights to act as accessory to the worst mass murder in history and, worse yet, punish those who courageously stood up in defence of children and the rest of humanity. Many of us may not survive this assault, this mass genocide, but what's worse than dying? Dying as a cowardly and corrupt accessory to the NWO criminals' genocide in hopes of surviving a few more years of life hounded by incessant memories and tormenting feelings of shame and guilt. As Dr. Jordan Peterson stated based on his observations as psychologist for many years, "No one gets away with anything and it's terribly, terribly tragic to see."

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Matt
12 days ago edited

Vaccine mandate are unconstitutional. The constitution supercedes state rights when it comes to our liberties. Under the first amendment states have no right whatsoever to establish a national religion, nor to impede the free exercise of it. Hence they have no right to reject religious exemptions to vaccines. PERIOD.

In Cruzan v. Director, Missouri Department of Health 1990, in a 5-4 ruling, Chief Justice Rehnquist wrote the opinion for the majority. Rehnquist first affirmed "the right of a competent individual to refuse medical treatment" is a "constitutionally protected liberty interest" under the Fourteenth Amendment.

The 2020 emergency declaration suspending our liberties in an emergency was unconstitutional. Home Building & Loan Association v. Blaisdell, 290 U.S. 398, 425-426 (1934)

- Emergency does not create power. Emergency does not increase granted power or remove or diminish the restrictions imposed upon power granted or reserved. The Constitution was adopted in a period of grave emergency. Its grants of power to Federal Government and its limitations of the power of the States were determined in the light of emergency, and they are not altered by emergency.

Medical Boards enforcing their vaccine cult orthodoxies are ripe for investigations into their finances and communications. Politicians doing the will of the Corporate donors instead of the will of the people they serve need to face steep consequences for their treachery.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Joan
12 days ago

She should contact Dr. Scott Jensen (former Senator and Candidate for Governor of Minnesota) who is going through similar battles with the medical board of Minnesota. These bullying tactics and medical tyrannical behavior needs to end

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

ange91
13 days ago

I think we need him here. Given the past where the President Kennedy and Robert Kennedy gunned down by we know who. I won't want to see the same fate. Our children need him. Who is going to fight for them. I have known about his tiressly work trying to protect our babies and children. It opened our eyes. Who would ever thought the "Big Bad Wolf" was out there in vaccines. I think of the lines in schools when we would get our small pox shots. Now its 26 shots of the babies 1st year. This is after the "pigs" found out how lucurative it was giving vaccines. I say they fooled me for a while. But not after I read about the Hep b shot having enough in one vaccine for 237 lb man and giving it to new borns. I knew that was a sham.Not even adults need that much. It goes on and on.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

MultiChubby1 → ange91
12 days ago

He is only interested in protecting the babies that have departed the womb.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

ange91 → MultiChubby1
4 days ago

That's not what was written or said. How many times can a person write or say not to take vaccines while pregnant or right after, I know that a Mother needs to recover or a new born needs to get acclimated before the vaccines start being "shoved on them"! My baby started having high fevers and convulsions after the 6 week vaccines. This was in 1963. We didn't know it could be the that. There is nothing more frightening . I then, didn't know anything about mercury or heavy metals!!

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

kibitzer3
13 days ago

Perhaps some of these health 'experts' are sincere in their belief about the efficacy of vaccines. But they are deluded as to their safety; and are thus guilty of not doing their authoritative job properly, in not educating themselves as to the full extent of the downside of these injections, which have made of Medical Care in this country nothing but Sick Care. Great for their incomes. Not so good for the basic health of the populace.

Major change is needed in this country. And in this area in particular.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Tina
13 days ago

She “lacks good moral character”. 😂🤪🤓 But of course, after all she is a “female” medical doctor. Of course they will attack her morals. I’m surprised they didn’t use the phrase “moral turpitude”. They will never address the science with a woman, it’s always “something is wrong with her”.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Carrie Lavine
13 days ago edited

Kelly Sutton literally has saved lives by giving vaccine mandates also bases on our Constitutional rights of Medical Choice and Freedom from compelled association..as well to the Mass Medical Fraud of childhood vaccines-as 55%of children in America have Chronic Illness/Political Opinion and equating a medical product-measles vaccine&later the mRNA Bioweapon shot-to have some sort of inherent moral character by those administering it..Nazi Eugenics pseudoscience&Mass Genocide is backing this hideous agenda that Mass medical Malpractice in hospitals called Hospital Protocols dictated by Fauci has murdered thousands &taken over our hospitals.because they get paid more if
they follow it. CDC databases &Pfizer Documents.&.European National Statistics verify..even after first 2 mnths of the ConVid shot rollout show..CDC FDA&Pfizer knew 2000 deaths out of 40,000 shots administered and thousands of vaccine injuries..now Millions and the Measles Vaccine..proven..in 2016 that in elementary schools&colleges..the majority of measles outbreaks were among the vaccinated by 3tge MMR.Real independent research not funded by Pharma profiteers..shows the MMR vaccine..Measles Mumps Rubella shot Causes increased susceptibility to the Measles virus and an extensive list of adverse effects..autoimmune disorders allergies asthmatic conditions ect and linked to causing seizure disorders&autism in 2 yr olds after receiving the MMR shot..for a virus that has less than 0.1%chance of death&after contracting wild measles children have lasting immunity from measles thru adulthood and increased protection from cancers.All childhood vaccines contain foreign DNA-animal&fetal(in itself causes human cells to attack itself by foreign DNA)mercury&aluminum adjuvants used as a perservative&to evoke an inflated immune respinse that causes Autoimmune Diseases.

👍 3 👎 1 Reply • Share ›

brbg
9 days ago

The Medical Boards seem to be in the pocket of big Pharma. That is the only explanation for their tyrannical behavior.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Old School Counselor → brbg
8 days ago

It seems much deeper than that. The public health authorities appear completely partisan/corrupted.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Steven Berge
13 days ago

And the crap show continues.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Old School Counselor
8 days ago

This goes beyond the medical board to the machinery of justice in Massachusetts. They took away Dr. Sutton's right to due process by failing to honor her requests for discovery (that may have revealed crimes and cover-ups of the COVID harms including illegal tracing, hiding vaccine harms, lying about death certificates for cash and to increaes the death numbers), and issuing a fiat summary decision against her without hearing her defense. The prosecutors, magistrates, and even the paralegals need to come forward as conscientious objectors to expose the corruption and oppose the totalitarianism in Massachusetts. Hopefully a few of them are Kennedy Democrats (:. This is very, very big.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

rferrisx
11 days ago

Medical boards for the various states and elective districts should be filled with elected positions not requiring any degree. We do this for school boards...we can do this for medical boards.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

MultiChubby1
12 days ago edited

Kennedy, who hopes to challenge President Joe Biden for the Democratic Party nomination, has not spoken much about abortion. His campaign portrays him as a political moderate who hopes to reunite Americans and end the growing political division.

Responding to a voter’s question Tuesday, Kennedy said he is “pro-choice” and supports “bodily autonomy,” WMUR 9 News reports.

“The only thing I can say to you on that issue is that I’m pro-choice,” Kennedy said when asked for a reason why pro-life voters should support him. “I don’t think there’s anybody in this country who’s worked harder for medical freedom, for bodily autonomy than me.”

His campaign made a similar comment to Newsweek in May.

Kennedy “believes strongly in the principle of bodily autonomy, whether the issue is abortion or medical mandates,” the spokesperson said. “He will keep government away from women’s childbearing choices. The moral issues are best left to the woman, her family and her religious community.”

Typically, this means a candidate supports laws that allow unborn babies to be aborted for

see more

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

lanainutahdesert
12 days ago

It's very, very clear. Call these people out. We're living in a Totalitarian State that is only going to get worse. Parents/patients/other interested parties had better start laying it out publicly or we're doomed as a nation. Pan sounds especially corrupt and deeply anti-human.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

r2bzjudge
12 days ago

"Where before parents simply had to write a letter stating their personal
beliefs, the new law stipulated that parents seeking exemption for
their children must get the signature of an authorized healthcare
provider stating that parents had received information about the risks
of not being vaccinated."

There are risks of being vaccinated. Studies have shown that unvaccinated children are healthier than those vaccinated.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Load more comments

✉ Subscribe 🔒 Privacy ! Do Not Sell My Data

Children’s Health Defense

Children’s Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2023 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap